

## Sonoma County 2025 Continuum of Care Competition Permanent Supportive Housing Renewal Project Scoring Tool

M	easure	Scoring Methodology	Possible Points			
A.	A. System Performance Measures (SPM)					
1.	SPM 7b. Successful PH Exits: Retention of PH	PSH Housing Outcome: % of living leavers +stayers stably housed atcontract year end. From APR: (Q5a. total number of clients - (Q23a + Q23b subtotal temporary + institutional + Other destinations)) ÷ Q5a., total number of clients Staff scored Scoring: 100-95%= 6 pts., 94-85%= 4 pts., 84-75%= 2 pts., <74% = 0 pts.	6			
2.	SPM 7b. Successful Exits to PH: exiting to a permanent destination	Percentage of clients served in the project to meet this outcome. Highest rate of proposed exits to permanent housing destinations earns full points.  Scoring: 100-95%= 6 pts., 94-85%= 4 pts., 84-75%= 2 pts., <74% = 0 pts.	6			
3.	SPM 4. Increase in Earned Income	Percentage of clients that increased income from employment from program entry to exit. From HMIS APR:(Q19a.1+2) Number of Adults with Earned Income: Retained Income Category and Increased \$ at Follow-Up/Exit + Did Not Have the Income Category at Entry and Gained the Income Category at Follow-Up/Exit) ÷ Q5a Total Adults - Staff scored Scoring: 100–75% = 5 pts., 74–50% = 3 pts., 49–25% = 1 pt., < 24% = 0 pts.	5			
4.	SPM 4. Increase in Non-Employment Income	Percentage of clients that increased income from sources other than employment. From HMIS APR:(Q19a. 1+2) Number of Adults with Other Income: Retained Income Category and Increased \$ at Follow-Up/Exit + Did Not Have the Income Category at Entry and Gained the Income Category at Follow-Up/Exit) ÷ Q5a Total Adults - Staff scored  Scoring: 100–75% = 5 pts., 74–50% = 3 pts., 49–25% = 1 pt., < 24% = 0 pts.	5			
5.	SPM 2a. Returns to Homelessness	Staff scored. Percentage of clients served in the project in the last 24 months (from 11/1/2023-11/1/2025) who exited to permanent housing and returned to homelessness is:  Scoring: <7% in in 12 months = 5 pts., 8-11% in 12 months = 2.5 pts., >12% in 12 months =0 pts.	5			
В.	Other Objecti	ve Criteria				
6.	Maximizing the use of Mainstream resources	Percentage of clients served in the project receiving non-cash benefits such as mainstream health, social, and employment programs.  From APR: (1 - (Q20b. Number of Non-Cash Benefit Sources, Adults with No sources) ÷ Q5a., total number of adults Staff scored Scoring: 100-95%= 5 pts., 94-85%= 3 pts., 84-75%= 1 pts., <74% = 0 pts.	5			



## Sonoma County 2025 Continuum of Care Competition Permanent Supportive Housing Renewal Project Scoring Tool

7. Supportive Service Requirements	Project will implement supportive services requirements. Scoring: Yes & documentation provided = 5 pts.  No= 0 pts.	5
8. Bed Utilization	Year-end Utilization From APR: (1 - (Q20b. Number of Non-Cash Benefit Sources, Adults with No sources) ÷ Q5a., total number of adults Staff scored  Scoring: 100-95%= 5 pts., 94-85%= 2.5 pts., 84-75%= 1 pt., <74% = 0 pts.	5
9. Chronic Homeless Beds	Percentage of Chronically Homeless Served From APR Q2, Actual Bed & Unit Inventory, CH beds ÷ (total) Beds. Staff scored Scoring: 100-95%= 5 pts., 94-85%= 2.5 pts., 84-75%= 1 pt., <74% = 0 pts.	5
10. Coordinated Entry Participation	Percentage referrals from Coordinated Entry with corresponding enrollments. Enrollments found outside of CES will required agencies to be placed on Corrective Action (unless approved emergency transfer) - Reporting Period- 7/1/2024-6/30/2025. Staff scored. Scoring:  100% = 5 pts., 99-91% = 2.5 pts., >90%= 0 pts.	5
11. Data-informed program research	Use of HMIS & other local data to guide program development & delivery.  Scoring: yes= 2 pts., No= 0 pts.	2
C. Project and Ca	apacity Assessment (objective criteria)	
12. Budget & Spend down	Spend down of funds/match on latest APR submission. Staff scored. 100% = 8 pts., 99–95% = 7 pts., 94–90% = 6 pts., 89–85% = 5 pts., 84–80% = 4 pts., 79–75% = 3 pts., 74–70% = 2 pts., <70% = 0	8
13. Demonstrated Capacity to Manage CoC Reporting	CoC APR Review – accuracy and timeliness of reporting. Staff scored.  Scoring: 7 pts.= timely submission & no inaccuracy of reporting, 5 pts.= Timely submission and errors 3 pt.: late submission no errors 0 pts.: late submission & errors	7
14. Demonstrated Capacity to Preform Competitively in the CoC Compeition	The project has been ranked in tier 1 in the last 3 competitions. Staff scored. Yes= 6 pts. No= 0	6
D. Local and Other I	HUD Priorities	



## Sonoma County 2025 Continuum of Care Competition Permanent Supportive Housing Renewal Project Scoring Tool

15. HMIS Data Quality, and Timeliness	High data quality and timeliness of assessments. HMIS Staff will score. There are 3 criteria:  1) Universal Data Elements (Name, SSN, DOB, gender, race & ethnicity) are at least 95% complete;  2) Data Quality Score: Income and Benefits health insurance  3) Timeliness  Scoring: Meeting all 3 criteria= 3 pts., Meeting 2/3= 1.5 pts.,  Meeting 1 or none= 0 pts.	3
16. Alignment with Local /HUD Priorities	<ul> <li>2 points for each goal this is in the project:</li> <li>a. Evidence of Project's collaborations with corrections partners/law enforcement</li> <li>b. Staff training/screening for mainstream resources (e.g. Medical, Calfresh, TANF, substance abuse programs, employment assistance)</li> <li>c. Promotion of/supporting volunteering, community engagement, and employment services</li> </ul>	6
17. Persons with Lived Experience	Seeks feedback from Persons with lived experience or those who have formerly experienced homelessness.  Scoring: 2 pts per question answered yes	6
18. Established Project of the CoC	Project is an established permanent supportive housing project of the CoC and is actively serving participants in their housing project.  Scoring: yes= 10 pts	10
19. Availability of Treatment and Recovery Services	Bonus Points: Implementation of access to treatment and recovery services for program participants. Attached letter of commitment is required to score additional bonus points.  Total Points:	5 Bonus