

M	easure	Scoring Methodology	Possible Points			
Α.	A. System Performance Measures (SPM)					
	SPM 7b1. Successful Housing Placement	Proposed percentage of clients served in the project to meet this outcome.  Scoring: 100-95%= 6 pts., 94-85%= 4 pts., 84-75%= 2 pts., <74% = 0 pts.	6			
2.	SPM 7b1. Successful Housing Placement	At least 20% Proposed clients served in the project will exit to permanent housing destinations without an ongoing subsidy. Scoring: Yes= 3 pts. No= 0 pts.	3			
3.	SPM 1 Length of Time Homeless	TH: The percentage of households that exit to permanent housing within 180 days of entering transitional housing. Scoring: >80%= 3 pts., 60-40%= 1.5 pts., <39%= 0 pts.  RRH: The percentage of households placed into permanent housing within 60 days of project enrollment. Scoring: >80%= 3 pts., 60-40%= 1.5 pts., <39%= 0 pts.	3			
4.	SPM 4. Increase in Earned Income	Proposed percentage of clients served in the project that increase income from employment from entry to exit.  Scoring: 100–75% = 5 pts., 74–50% = 3 pts., 49–25% = 1 pt., < 24% = 0 pts.	5			
5.	SPM 4. Increase in Non-Employment Income	Proposed percentage of clients served in project to meet this outcome  Scoring: 100–75% = 5 pts., 74–50% = 3 pts., 49–25% = 1 pt., < 24% = 0 pts.	5			
6.	SPM 2a. Returns to Homelessness	Proposed percentage of clients served after the first two years of project start date that exited to permanent housing and returned to homelessness is:  Scoring: <7% in in 12 months = 5 pts., 8-11% in 12 months = 2.5 pts., >12% in 12 months =0 pts.	5			
В.	Other Objective Cr					
7.	Maximizing the use of Mainstream resources	Proposed percentage of clients served in the project that will receive non-cash benefits such as mainstream health, social, and employment programs.  Scoring: 100-95%= 5 pts., 94-85%= 3 pts., 84-75%= 1 pts., <74% = 0 pts.	5			
8.	Supportive Service Requirements	Project plans to implement supportive services requirements. Scoring: Yes & documentation provided = 5 pts. No= 0 pts.	5			



9. Coordination with Housing Partners	For TH Projects: The project proposal includes a written commitment of leveraged funding that would subsidize 25% of the units in the proposed project. Leveraged funds must be other than CoC and ESG sources. that would account for 25% of the total requested award in subsidies to pay for units. Scoring:  Proposal includes written commitment of 25% of units= 5 pts.  Proposal does not include a written commitment= 0 pts.  For RRH Projects: The project proposal includes a written commitment of leveraged funding—other than CoC or ESG—that will subsidize housing costs for at least 25% of the program participants served by the RRH project. Leveraged resources must equal at least 25% of the total award amount requested for housing subsidies.  Scoring:  Proposal includes written commitment of 25% leveraged subsidies= 5 pts.  Proposal does not include a written commitment= 0 pts.	5
10. Coordination with Healthcare Partners	The project proposal includes a written commitment from a healthcare provider to deliver services to participants using resources from sources other than CoC or ESG funding. For substance use disorder treatment, the commitment must confirm that services will be available to all participants. For behavioral health or other healthcare services, the commitment must demonstrate that the value of the assistance provided is at least equal to 25% of the project's requested funding.  Scoring:  Proposal includes a written commitment that meets one of the requirements listed above= 5 pts.  Proposal does not include a written commitment for either option= 0 pts.	5
11. Availability of Treatment and Recovery Services	Proposed projects provides onsite Substance Use Disorder Services (SUDS). Scoring:	5



	SUDS required for project participants with attached				
	agreement attached = 5 pts.				
	SUDS available to all but not required = 2.5 pts.				
	No SUDS on site= 0 pts.				
C. Agency Capacity and Financial Capacity Assessment					
	Up to 5 points for a budget that is reasonable and				
	meets threshold requirements for eligible expenses.				
	Line-item narratives document how CoC funds				
12. Budget	requested are essential to helping people become	5			
12. Budget	permanently housed. Required 25% match (cash or in-	5			
	kind) is adequate, from appropriate sources, and				
	accurately calculated. Staff will calculate.				
	Total Project Budget (including estimated match) ÷				
	number projected to achieve housing performance				
	measures defined in the project application.	5			
13. Cost Effectiveness	Less than \$5,000 per outcome = 5 points, \$5,000 -				
	\$9,999 = 4.16 points, \$10,000 - \$14,999 = 3.33 points,				
	\$15,000 - \$19,999 = 2.5 points, \$20,000 -24,999 = 1.67				
	points, \$25,000-29,999= .83 point, 30,000+ = 0 points				
	Narrative is understandable; project design reflects the				
	experience of applicant in working with proposed				
	population; applicant understands client needs, type				
	and scale, and location of the housing fit population				
	being served, how clients are assisted in receiving				
	mainstream benefits, performance measurement				
14. Project	indicators for housing and income meet HEARTH benchmarks, plan to assist clients with rapidly	6			
Narrative/Design	obtaining permanent housing is clear and accessible.				
	Staff will score.				
	Meeting all requirements above= 6 pts.				
	Meeting all but one requirement above= 3 pts.				
	Narrative was clear, but no measurable outcomes were				
	included= 1 pts.				
	Narrative was not clear and no measurable outcomes				
	were included= 0 pts.				
15. Organizational	Providers new to CoC: Demonstrated ability to manage				
Capacity and	CoC awards or other federal or state funding with				
Experience/	experience in leveraging funds. Scored based on	7			
Demonstrated	application submission in e-snaps.	7			
Capacity to manage	Scoring:				
CoC Awards	Demonstrated capacity managing state or federal				
	awards and experience leveraging funds= 7 pts.				



	No experience with State/federal funding or leveraging funds= 0 pts.  CoC Renewal Providers: cumulative rankings from past 3 CoC Competitions. Full points awarded to agencies scoring in the Top 5 of the previous 3 CoC Competitions with no projects falling into At-Risk Tier in past 3 competitions.  Scoring:  Top 5 past 3 years= 7 pts.  Not meeting this criteria= 0 pts.	
16. Project Readiness	Plan for opening services and housing is understandable, realistic, and timely (e.g., open within 90 days of contract execution- 2025/2026 term). The extent to which the narrative addresses expedited plan for housing placement after technical submission of contract (within 60 days, 120 days, and 180 days) Staff will score.  Meeting all requirements= 5 pts.  Not meeting all= 0 pts.	5
17. Financial Audit	Scoring based on most recent audit including identification of agency as "low risk", number (if any) of findings. Staff scored.  5 pts. = no findings, timely audit 2.5 pts. = 1-2 finding 1 pt. = 3 findings 0 pts.= 4+ findings or no audit completed	5
18. HMIS Data Quality, Timeliness	Existing HMIS Providers will be scored based on all HMIS projects. High data quality and timeliness of assessments. HMIS Staff will score. There are 3 criteria:  1) Personally Identifiable Information (Name, DOB, Race & Ethnicity) are at least 95% complete  2) Universal Data Elements & Income and Housing Data Quality are at least 95% complete  3) Timeliness Scoring: Meeting all 3 criteria=3 pts., Meeting 2/3=1.5 pts., Meeting 1 or none=0 pts.	3
	If you are not using HMIS data, project will score half points.	



19. Additional Local/HUD Priorities	<ul> <li>2 points for each goal this is in the proposer either currently does or plans to incorporate:         <ul> <li>a. Provider will collaborate with corrections partners/law enforcement</li> </ul> </li> <li>b. Staff will be trained on and will screen for mainstream resources (e.g. Medi-cal, Calfresh, TANF, substance abuse programs, employment assistance)</li> <li>c. Project will promote/support volunteering, community engagement, and employment services</li> </ul>	6
20. Persons with Lived Experience	Seeks feedback from Persons with lived experience or those who have formerly experienced homelessness.  Scoring: 2 pts. per question answered yes	6
21. Bonus Points: Existing Provider with Transition Grant	Provider is currently operating a PSH project within the CoC and is applying to transition their current award to a TH project.	10
	Total Points:	100