SONOMA COUNTY GENERAL SERVICES

NEW COUNTY GOVERNMENT CENTER





TECHNICAL ADVISOR FINDINGS

Caroline Judy, Director January 5, 2021

Improve services with modern, safe facilities

The County is facing challenges with its current facilities:



170+ aging buildings we have outgrown almost \$10M/yr to rent more space *



In 2018, the County Government Center's deferred maintenance backlog was \$258M - a cost that goes up every year.



Buildings are not up to modern standards for seismic safety.

*As presented to BOS in Mar 2019 Deferred Maintenance Report

NEW GOVERNMENT CENTER OUR GROWING NEED

Heavy equipment space Environmental impacts Site deve opment cost Resiliency oncost ransit access Parking Economic impacts Site complexity Location resiliency Community enancement Easy Access /height restrictions Zoning/ Swing requirements Downtown parking charges

AN

POTENTIAL SITES

EXISTING COUNTY ADMINISTRATION CENTER

DOWNTOWN (MULTIPLE OPTIONS)

AIRPORT AREA



Existing County Center Site





Downtown Santa Rosa Santa Rosa Sites

WHERE WE ARE

Aug - Oct 2019 **Confirm Goals &** Objectives

- Confirm goals and objectives
- Engage key stakeholders

Jan 2021

Procurement

- Market Sounding
- Request For Qualifications
- Negotiations
- Preliminary enviornmental studies

Jan 2025 **Begin Construction & Post Occupancy**

- Operations & maintenance
- Move and occupancy





PHASE 2 FINDINGS PRESENTED TODAY

A F F I N G A S E D S P A C E



Total Staff

FTE: 4,105

FTE ON CAMPUS: 1,697

FTE IN LEASED SPACE: 2,100

FTE MOVING TO NEW FACILITIES: 2,443



Total Space

AVG. SQ. FT. PER PERSON: 302

TOTAL OWNED SQ. FT.: 2,010,897

OFFICE LEASED SQ. FT.: 478,351



Specialty Space

EOC SQ. FT.: 5,400

MORGUE SQ. FT.: 7,550

PUBLIC HEALTH LAB SQ. FT.: 1,500

FACILITY ASSUMPTIONS **PRE-COVID BASE CASE PROGRAM**

- Total space required: 756,149 sq. ft.
 - Office space: 696,699 sq. ft., based on 225 sq. ft./person+ 20% common area and .5% annual growth
 - Specialty space: 41,450 sq. ft.
 - Morgue and Public Health Lab: 26,450 sq. ft.
 - BOS Chambers/Offices: 5,000 sq. ft.
 - EOC Warehouse: 5,000 sq. ft.
 - Server room & misc.: 74,550 sq. ft.
 - Training and multi-use: 18,000 sq. ft.
 - EOC Center/Conference space: 12,000 sq. ft.
 - HR Training/multi-use: 6,000 sq. ft.
- Parking structure: 3,025 spaces
- Office space is over 92 percent of total

SPECIALTY 41,450 SF

TRAINING

MULTI-USF 18.000 SE



FTE In New Facilities: 2,443 FTE moving from leased space: 974 (1,399 remain at Sheriff's or leased space)



WORK)

Covid-19 safety requires more physical distancing and isolation, but also has given rise to dramatically increased remote work.

PROGRAM UPDATED TO RESPOND TO SPACE CHANGES (COVID-19 SAFETY, REMOTE

SPACE TYPE / USE DRIVE COST

PROPOSED **BASE CASE**

| FTE | 2,443‡ |
|--------------------------------|-----------|
| Average sq. ft./person | 225 |
| Office Owned (sq. ft) | 696,699 |
| Office Leased (sq. ft.) | 152,974 |
| EOC (sq ft.) | 12,000 |
| Morgue sq. ft.) | 26,450*** |
| Public Health Lab (sq. ft.) | |

MODIFIED BASE CASE WITH 50% REMOTE WORK

| FTE | 1,222 |
|--------------------------------|--------|
| Average sq. ft./person | 17(|
| Office Owned (sq. ft) | 346,26 |
| Office Leased (sq. ft.) | 152,9 |
| EOC (sq ft.) | 12,00 |
| Morgue sq. ft.) | 26,450 |
| Public Health Lab (sq. ft.) | |

*Assumes each remote worker has office hoteling space at 50 sq. ft. per person

** Base Case and PH Lab combined total sq. ft.

[‡] Proposed base case assumes 1,399 FTE remain in leased property and Sheriff's Office

‡ Modified based case assumes 1,399 FTE remain in lease property and Sheriff's Office, and 1,222 telework



\leq

SOURCES **FTE: Program Validation (attached)** Office Owned: ACTTC cost plan **Office Leased: Rent Database**



PFAL TECHNICAL ADVISOR FINDINGS



THREE CRITERIA GROUPINGS ACROSS TWO PRIORITY LEVELS

| SUMMARY SCORING | Possible Score | County Campus | Downtown Site(s) | Airport |
|------------------------------------|-------------------|------------------|---------------------|---------------|
| SERVICE | 22.5 | 22 | 14.5 | 12 |
| AFFORDABILITY | 21 | 20 | 15 | 12.5 |
| DESIGN AND OTHER CONSIDERATIONS | 15 | 12 | 10.5 | 10 |
| TOTAL | 58.5 | 54 | 40 | 34.5 |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| WEIGHTED SCORING | Possible Score | County Campus | Downtown Site(s) | Airport |
| WEIGHTED SCORING SERVICE 40% | | | | Airport 21 |
| | Score | Campus | Site(s) | |
| SERVICE 40% | Score 38 | Campus 38 | Site(s) 25 | 21 |

| SUMMARY SCORING | Possible Score | County Campus | Downtown Site(s) | Airport |
|------------------------------------|-------------------|------------------|---------------------|---------------|
| SERVICE | 22.5 | 22 | 14.5 | 12 |
| AFFORDABILITY | 21 | 20 | 15 | 12.5 |
| DESIGN AND OTHER CONSIDERATIONS | 15 | 12 | 10.5 | 10 |
| TOTAL | 58.5 | 54 | 40 | 34.5 |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| WEIGHTED SCORING | Possible Score | County Campus | Downtown Site(s) | Airport |
| WEIGHTED SCORING SERVICE 40% | | | | Airport 21 |
| | Score | Campus | Site(s) | |
| SERVICE 40% | Score 38 | Campus 38 | Site(s) 25 | 21 |

RUBRIC SIL EVALUATION



SITE ANALYSIS AND FINDINGS



COUNTY **ADMINISTRATION** CENTER

- 82 Acres total
- 24 Acres for New offices
- 22 Acres Mixed Use Development
- Detention and Justice Facilities to remain
- Consideration for future needs

La Plaza "A" & "B" Lot Area: 164,656 sf Total Development: 440,867 sf

> Lot Area: 13,136 sf Total Development: 192,100 sf

Area for Development



COUNTY ADMINISTRATION CENTER



Parking Development Opportunities Transit Hub County Offices Board Chambers



Proposed Site 1:300

26 g Θ B CALD GA Ð ANALYSIS



SITE ANALYSIS - DESIGN VISION



THE ANALYSIS - DESIGN VISION

DOWNTOWN SITES

City Hall

Post Office

Central Library

Whitehouse Lot

7.4 Acres

2.6 Acres

1.5 Acres

1.3 Acres



Area for Development

SITE ANALYSIS - DOWNTOWN



Whitehouse Public Parking Lot Lot Area: 57,063 sf Total Development: 456,504 sf

US Post Office Lot Area: 112.384 sf Total Development: 872,100 sf* *Can accommodate all County

Departments except parking



City Hall Lot Area: 322,688 sf Total Development: 960,000 sf*

*Concept proposed by the City of Santa Rosa and can accommodate. If "Other/Mixed use" component is 960,000 sf then all County Depts. can be accommodated, however all parking will be off-site in new parking structure.

- 7.4 Acres
- Requires multi-level / multiple tower buildings
- All non-ADA parking off-site



Courtesy of City of Santa Rosa

CITY HALL GATEWAY CONCEPT

- 240 ft. tower, 16 stories/levels
- Only 58% of required space
- All non-ADA parking off-site
- Relocate library

DOWNTOWN LIBRARY



SITE ANALYSIS

- 240 ft. tower, 16 stories/levels
- Only 51% of required space
- All non-ADA parking off-site

Podium Office/retail

County Offices

ANALYSIS SIE



DOWNTOWN WHITEHOUSE LOT

- 2.6 acres
- Accommodates full program
- Requires downtown Post Office replacement

County Offices

ANALYSIS SIF



Proposed Site 1:300

DOWNTOWN POST OFFICE

Mixed-Use **Office Tower** Footprint: 16,900 sf Bldg Height: 240 ft Podium Footprint: 17,250 sf Bldg Height: 227 ft Tower: 1 Footprint: 100,00 sf Podium Height: 30 ft Floor to Floor: 15 ft Levels: 2 Floor to Floor: 15 ft Mixed-Use **Office Mid-Rise** Mid-Rise: 1 Footprint: 6,000 sf Podium Height: 90 ft Levels: 4 Mid-Rise: 2 Footprint: 33,350 sf Podium Height: 90 ft Levels: 4 Mid-Rise: 3 Footprint: 11,200 sf Podium Height: 90 ft 80 Levels: 4 Floor to Floor: 15 ft

Podium Office/retail Parking **Development Opportunities** Transit Hub County Offices Board Chambers





DOWNTOWN TANDEM SITES

A N A L Y S I S SITE

DOWNTOWN TANDEM DEVELOPMENT



SITE ANALYSIS - DESIGN VISION









SITE ANALYSIS - DESIGN VISION





| 5th & COLORADO | | |
|--------------------------------|-----------|----|
| LINCOLN PROPERTY COMPANY | AUTTR, TX | HI |



AIRPORT AREA/ LAUGHLIN ROAD

- Largest of available commercial
- Original site (red) unavailable
- Additional site available (yellow)
- 8.72 acres
- Buildable up to 379,843 sq. ft.
- Building heights limited to 2 stories

SITE ANALYSIS





AIRPORT SITE

SITE ANALYSIS - DESIGN VISION









THE EVOLUTION OF TRANSPORTATION & PARKING

We need to be proactive about space needs for today and a future we can't imagine

FINANCIAL MODELING, DELIVERY OPTIONS AND ANALYSIS







Risk tolerance

Value for money Affordability

Funding options





Delivery models



PRIORITIES

- Achieve best value-for-money over the long term
- Predictability of costs and guaranteed performance
- Optimal risk transfer
- Avoid cost overruns and delays
- Retain asset ownership



FINANCIAL ANALYSIS

DESIGN-BUILD-FINANCE-OPERATE-MAINTAIN (DBFOM)

- Developer finances, assumes more risk
- Specified O&M
 components during 30 40 year term
- Specified "availability payments" made after occupancy
- Payments based on performance
- County owns facilities

DESIGN-BUILD DEBT FINANCE

- County secures financing
- Design-Build (DB) team contracted
- DB team builds, constructs
- Progress payments made at specified milestones
- DB team paid in full at project completion
- O&M is County responsibility
- County owns facilities

BUILD-TO-SUIT LEASE

- County specifies requirements
- Lessor design and constructs
- Lessor manages construction risk
- Lessor/County agree rate and long-term lease, with specific terms, options
- County does not own facilities
- Lease buy-back may be possible at term end

DELIVERY

O P T I O N S DELIVERY



CONTINUUM OF PRIVATE SECTOR INVOLVEMENT

National Council of State Legislators 2017

Value For Money (VFM) Comparison

- VFM analysis resulted in 3% difference
- DBFOM is \$25 million less than **Bond Financed**
- DBFOM cost and performance are **predictable** and guaranteed (over 30 years)



- Retained Risk Maintenance
- Availability Payment

Data source: PFAL Financial Analysis (attached)

PROGRAM ASSUMPTIONS COMPARED -BASE CASE VS. POST-COVID (DESIGN & BUILD ONLY)

Does not include financing, O&M and staff management

| Pre-COVID Space (Base Case, 225 SF / FTE, 0% Telework) | Office Downtown | Office County Admin Center | Emergency Ops Center | Morgue & Public Health Lab | Board Chambers | Parking Structure (3,025 spaces) |
|---|-----------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------------|----------------|-------------------------------------|
| Size (sq. ft.) | 696,699 | 696,699 | 12,000 | 26,450 | 21,000 | 1,170,518 |
| Unit Cost (\$/sq. ft.)** | 641*** | 557**** | 850 | 710 | 630 | 134 |
| Construction (\$) | 446,518,201 | 388,276,697 | 10,200,000 | 18,779,500 | 13,230,000 | 156,931,712 |
| Contingency (20%) | 89,303,640 | 77,655,339 | 2,040,000 | 3,755,900 | 2,646,000 | 31,386,342 |
| Cost (\$) | 535,821,841 | 465,932,036 | 12,240,000 | 22,535,400 | 15,876,000 | 188,318,055 |

Does not include financing, 0&M and staff management

| Post-COVID Space (Modified Base Case, 170 SF / FTE + 50% Telework at 50 SF / FTE) | | Office County Admin Center | Emergency Ops Center | Morgue & Public Health Lab | Board Chambers | Parking Structure (2,505 spaces) |
|---|-------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------------|----------------|-------------------------------------|
| Size (sq. ft.) | 346,260 | 346,260 | 12,000 | 26,450 | 21,000 | 628,039 |
| Unit Cost (\$/sq. ft.)** | 585*** | 557**** | 850 | 710 | 630 | 134 |
| Construction (\$) | 202,622,769 | 192,974,066 | 10,200,000 | 18,779,500 | 13,230,000 | 84,201,431 |
| Contingency (20%) | 40,524,554 | 38,594,813 | 2,040,000 | 3,755,900 | 2,646,000 | 16,840,286 |
| Cost (\$) | 243,147,323 | 231,568,879 | 12,240,000 | 22,535,400 | 15,876,000 | 101,041,718 |

****Cost of Construction escalated to** mid-point of construction *******Assumes additional costs required for high-rise construction due to limited site availability. Does not include contingency ******Assumes swing space required** during construction. Does not include contingency.

******Cost of Construction escalated to mid-point of construction *******Assumes additional costs required for high-rise construction due to limited site availability. Does not include contingency. ********Assumes swing space required during construction. Does not include contingency.

MODIFIED BASE CASE ANNUAL AVAILABILITY PAYMENT

\$521.99 MILLION

NET PRESENT VALUE COST TOTAL PROGRAM COST

\$37.25 MILLION

INITIAL ANNUAL AVAILABILITY PAYMENT

IMPACTS OF PARKING COSTS

Base case vs. Modified program

Annual Availability Payments

| Office Space / Telework | No Parking Garage | 400 Space Garage Traditional Ramp, Balance Surface | Full Parking Standard, Traditional Ramp | Full Parking Standard, Flexible Design for Future Office |
|--------------------------------|-------------------|--|--|--|
| 225 SF/Person@ 0% Telework | \$55.07M | \$56.99 M | \$69.53 M | \$72.5 M |
| 170 SF/Person@ 50% Telework | \$27.92 M | \$29.83 M | \$35.83 M | \$37.25 M |

Net Present Costs

| Office Space / Telework | No Parking Garage | 400 Space Garage Traditional Ramp, Balance Surface | Full Parking Standard, Traditional Ramp | Full Parking Standard, Flexible Design for Future Office |
|--------------------------------|-------------------|--|--|--|
| 225 SF/Person@ 0% Telework | \$730.44 M | \$750.89 M | \$884.22 M | \$916.83 M |
| 170 SF/Person@ 50% Telework | \$422.26 M | \$442.83 M | \$508.59 M | \$521.99 M |

Total DBFOM Office Space Cost Impacts of Space Standards & Telework

| Net Square feet per FTE | Telework % | Net Present Cost (\$ million) | First Year Availability Payment w/ Offset of \$8.566 mm avoided rent (\$ millions) |
|-------------------------|------------|----------------------------------|--|
| | 0 | 916.83 | 72.50 |
| 225 | 33 | 711.14 | 54.22 |
| | 50 | 608.37 | 45.08 |
| | 0 | 737.70 | 56.36 |
| 170 | 33 | 593.95 | 43.63 |
| | 50 | 521.99 | 37.25 |
| | | | |

Data source: PFAL Financial Analysis (attached)

Comparative Project Costs



Federal Office

\$557-\$641/SF

New County Main Campus

\$834/SF

American AgCredit Building

- Source: US General Services Administration Regional Chief Architect's Office
- 2020 cost adjusted to cost location factors and escalated to 2023
- Does not include site development costs

- Source: PFAL
- Financial Analysis for offices only.
- Does not include finance and O&M costs

- Source: TLCD Architecture Website.
- Construction only.
- Cost above represents 2016 cost, adjusted to 2020

\$1,106/SF

Sonoma Superior Court -New Courthouse

• Source: Judicial Council California Court website

SONOMA COUNTY CHOICE Project Outside Counsel



NOSSAMAN LLP

Past DBFOM PROJECTS



CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS JUSTICE CENTER PROJECT

CITY OF LOS ANGELES CONSOLIDATED RENTAL CAR CENTER



UC MERCED CAMPUS EXPANSION PROJECT





ARIZONA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION FLAGSTAFF FACILITIES

CITY OF LOS ANGELES CONVENTION CENTER RENOVATION AND EXPANSION

CONCLUSION - SITE EVALUATION FINDINGS

MOST FEASIBLE SITES

Admin. Campus

required





Downtown Tandem

Airport

Yes, modified base case

Yes, modified base case

Complex - two 240 ft. towers, no or ltd. parking

Moderately complex

High impact to library / potential to delay

Estimated 5-15% highrise cost premium / offsite parking required

High impact to Water Agency- entire site needed/ potential to delay

> **Relocation of Water** Agency

BOARD ACTION TIMELINE



RECOMMENDED ACTIONS

- Make a preliminary selection of the preferred site for the new County government center, subject to further analysis in compliance with the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA).
- Direct staff to initiate Request for Qualifications (RFQ) to identify potential development partner(s) and bring back results of the RFQ for further Board consideration.
- Delegate authority to the Director, General Services Department to amend the consulting services agreement with PFAL for RFQ and RFP phases, for a not-to-exceed amount of \$1,735,000. (4/5th Vote)
- Authorize County Counsel to enter into a Legal Services Agreement with Nossaman, LLP for legal services to support RFQ and RFP phases for a not-to-exceed amount of \$1,750,000. (4/5th Vote)
- Direct staff to initiate a CEQA Initial Study pursuant to California Government Code section 15063 on the identified preferred site, and return to the Board with findings for future consideration.
- Adopt a resolution approving budget adjustments to the FY 20/21 Budget in the amount of \$2,506,359 using Deferred Maintenance funds for Phase III technical advisory, outside counsel, and record digitization efforts expected to be completed in the current fiscal year. (4/5th Vote)



